REMARKS

Claims 1-19 were originally filed in the present application.

Claims 1-19 are pending in the present application, and were each rejected.

Claims 1-19 were rejected in the May 22, 2006 Office Action.

No claims have been allowed.

Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 11, 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) were rejected as anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2001/0007819 to Kubota ("Kubota"). This rejection is traversed.

The description of Kubota and corresponding arguments of the previous response are incorporated herein by reference. For common reference, the Examiner relies on Kubota's BS 52₁-52₄ to satisfy the claimed "first base transceiver station", Kubota's BS 52₅ to satisfy the claimed "transition base transceiver station", and Kubota's Mth BS 58 as the claimed "second base transceiver station." The Examiner notes that path 100 of Kubota's figure 4 indicates the travel path of MS 61. To satisfy the limitations of claim 11, as the Examiner alleges, there must be a soft handoff between BS 52₁-52₄ and BS 52₅, and a hard handoff between BS 52₅ and Mth BS 58.

Kubota describes its process for the transfer of the mobile station between BS 52₅ and Mth BS 58. Kubota does describe a soft-handoff process to BS 52₅ in paragraph 0083. However, to then perform a hard handoff to Mth BS 58, Kubota first performs a <u>hard handoff</u> to BS 52₅, as described in paragraphs [0096]-[0097]: "Thereafter, <u>MS 61 communicates with a channel that is newly</u> assigned between itself and fifth BS 52₅, and there is established new communication path 122 via

L:\SAMS01\00264 -9-

first MSC 501, second MSC 502, third BSC 513, and fifth BS 525. In this manner, new

communication path 122 is established after the inter-switching-center hard hand-off control process

is completed." (emphasis added). This is significantly different than the present invention, in which

no intervening hard handoff is necessary or performed.

Claim 11 has been amended to specify that there is no intervening hard handoff between the

claimed first base transceiver station and the transition base transceiver station.

Thus BS 525 does not appear to function as the claimed "transition base transceiver station."

There is no disclosure or teaching of within the Kubota reference of a method of providing reliable

hard handoffs between a first wireless network and a second wireless network using a transition base

transceiver station without performing an intervening hard handoff between the first base transceiver

station and the transition base transceiver station as described in these claims. Therefore, Kubota

reference fails to disclose, for example, performing a hard handoff for the mobile station between the

transition base transceiver station and a second base transceiver station in the second wireless

network, as required by amended Claim 11 and its dependants, Claims 13 and 14. Thus, Claims 11,

13 and 14 are patentably distinguishable.

Further, Claim 11 requires that the transition base transceiver station is located in proximity

to the second base transceiver station, as illustrated in Figure 2B and described, e.g., in paragraphs

012, 039, and 047 of the specification as filed. There is no teaching or suggestion in the art that

Kubota's BS 525 and Mth BS 58 are in proximity to each other, and in fact that service area 532 and

L:\SAMS01\00264 -10-

service area 59 are only shown to slightly overlap indicate that these base transceiver stations are not

in proximity to each other, as required by Claim 11.

Applicants therefore request favorable reconsideration and the withdrawal of the §102

rejection.

Claims 1-10 were rejected as obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2002/0051432 to

Shin ("Shin") in view of Kubota. These rejections are traversed.

As the Examiner expressly notes, on page 5, that Shin "fails to disclose wherein the base

station controller is further operable to perform a hard handoff for the mobile station between the

transition base transceiver station and the second base transceiver station". In fact, as described at

length in the previous response (the arguments of which are hereby incorporated by reference), Shin

teaches performing three successive handoffs, a first soft handoff, a hard handoff and a second soft

handoff, to facilitate communications between different CDMA systems or between 2G and 3G

systems and thus eliminating the so-called ping-pong effect. Accordingly, the Shin reference

specifically teaches away from performing a hard handoff for the mobile station between the

transition base transceiver station and the second base transceiver station, as required by claims 1 and

6, and respective dependant claims 4-5 and 7-10.

Kubota does teach a hard handoff between two different systems. There is no motivation to

combine these references for this teaching, however, and as described above, Shin teaches away from

any such hard handoff.

L:\SAMS01\00264 -11-

PATENT

As the Examiner is surely aware, the motivation to combine or modify must be specific to the actual teachings sought to be combined. "In holding an invention obvious in view of a combination of references, there must be some suggestion, motivation, or teaching in the prior art that would have led a person of ordinary skill in the art to select the references and combine them in the way that would produce the claimed invention." (*Karsten Mfg. Corp. v. Cleveland Golf Co.*, 242 F.3d 1376, 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2001) emphasis added). "When the references are in the same field as that of the applicant's invention, knowledge thereof is presumed. However, the test of whether it would have been obvious to select specific teachings and combine them as did the applicant must still be met by identification of some suggestion, teaching, or motivation in the prior art, arising from what the prior art would have taught a person of ordinary skill in the field of the invention." (*In re Dance*, 160 F.3d 1339, 1343 (Fed. Cir. 1998), emphasis added).

In this case, the Examiner relies on a single sentence in Kubota for a motivation to add Kubota's hard handoff to Shin's system, despite the fact that Shin teaches away from such a modification. The first sentence of Kubota's paragraph [0024] reads "A hand-off control process needs to be performed between different systems for increasing the quality of services provided to the users of mobile stations." This is certainly true, but it does not teach the desirability of adding a hard handoff in place of Shin's soft handoff. Shin's system is a handoff control process, and one that teaches away from using Kubota's hard handoff. This sentence provides no motivation at all for one of skill in the art to look to Kubota, and certainly not to modify Shin's system to include the very thing that Shin seeks to avoid. Lacking a proper motivation to modify or combine these references in

L:\SAMS01\00264 -12-

the specific way as to meet the claimed invention, the Office Action fails to make a prima facie

obviousness rejection, and is so legally deficient.

Further, Claim 11 requires that the transition base transceiver station is located in proximity

to a second base transceiver station, as illustrated in Figure 2B and described, e.g., in paragraphs 012,

039, and 047 of the specification as filed. There is no teaching or suggestion in the art that Kubota's

BS 52₅ and Mth BS 58 are in proximity to each other, and in fact that service area 53₂ and service

area 59 are only shown to slightly overlap indicate that these base transceiver stations are not in

proximity to each other, as required by Claim 1. Similarly, there is no teaching or suggestion in the

art that Shin's base station 31 and base station 21 are in proximity to each other, and the cell areas

illustrated in Figure 4 indicate that these base stations are not in proximity to each other, as required

by claim 1. As neither Shin nor Kubota teaches or suggests a transition base transceiver station

located in proximity to a second base transceiver station, as claimed, no combination of them can

teach or suggest this feature, as found in independent Claims 1, 6, and 11.

The rejections of claims 1-10 are traversed.

Claims 12 and 16-19 were rejected as obvious over Kubota in view of Shin. Claim 15 was

rejected as obvious over Kubota. These rejections are traversed.

The distinctions of parent independent claim 11, discussed above, apply here. As the

Examiner expressly notes, on page 5, that Shin also "fails to disclose wherein the base station

controller is further operable to perform a hard handoff for the mobile station between the transition

base transceiver station and the second base transceiver station", it is clear that the combination of

L:\SAMS01\00264 -13-

PATENT

Kubota and Shin, taken together, also does not teach or suggest a "transition base transceiver station" functioning as claimed in independent claim 11, as amended. As such, claim 11 and dependent

claims 12-19 are all patentable over this combination.

Further, as described above, none of the art of reference, alone or in combination, teaches or

suggests a transition base transceiver station located in proximity to the second base transceiver

station, as required by each independent claim.

L:\SAMS01\00264 -14-

DOCKET NO. **2003.10.004.WS0**U.S. SERIAL NO. 10/696,502
PATENT

SUMMARY

For the reasons given above, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims and that this application be passed to issue. If any outstanding issues remain, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of this application, the Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at *jmockler@munckbutrus.com*.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNCK BUTRUS, P.C.

Date: 22 Aug 2006

P.O. Drawer 800889

Dallas, Texas 75380 Phone: (972) 628-3600

Fax: (972) 628-3616

E-mail: *jmockler@munckbutrus.com*

Registration No. 39,775